

127 Baggot Street Lwr.

Dublin, D02 F634

31st March 2022

By email to:

Mark Griffin, Secretary General of the Department of the Environment, Climate and Communications John Hogan, Secretary General of the Department of Finance David Moloney, Secretary General of the Department of Public Expenditure and Reform Jim Gannon, Commissioner of the Commission for Regulation of Utilities cc: Bill Emery (Chair of the SEM Committee), Matt Collins (DECC) and John Melvin (CRU)

Re: Request for coordinated response to current energy crisis addressing consumer issues, financial liquidity /collateral concerns and gas storage

Dear Mark, John, David and Jim

I write to you on behalf of the Electricity Association of Ireland (EAI) in the context of the extreme and volatile prices in the energy market, driven by the war in Ukraine. Our thoughts are with the people of Ukraine and our hope is for a quick resolution of the conflict.

EAI represents the electricity sector on the Island of Ireland from generation through to retail. On behalf of our members, we have already engaged with the regulatory authorities (RAs) on the possible impacts of the current situation in the markets and we are aware that some of our members have raised similar concerns directly with the RAs.

In this letter we outline key issues that are driving increased costs for consumers and make suggestions to enable continued trading by participants in gas and electricity markets. The points that our EAI committees holistically wish to raise for discussion with you relate to the EU Toolbox and latest EU discussions and proposals, collateral considerations for the Irish market and the implementation, in an Irish context, of EU Gas Storage policy and complementary measures.













We request urgent consideration of the matters outlined below and collaboration with industry in addressing the issues and determining the optimum way forward for consumers and industry as a whole. As this is a quickly developing policy space, the EAI will continue to evaluate proposals as they emerge and or evolve. We hope to be given the opportunity to engage fully with policymakers and regulatory authorities on the Island of Ireland to find and implement solutions in a proactive and timely manner. In that respect, we would ask that a government led Energy group is stood up to respond to this quickly evolving situation and that key energy market participant are represented.

We note and welcome that the EU Council has asked the EU Commission "as a matter of urgency to reach out to energy stakeholders to discuss short term options which, contribute to reducing gas prices and address contagion effect on electricity markets, **taking into account national circumstances**" 1

It is important to ensure that any intervention(s) have the desired effect, without unintended consequences and without disrupting investor confidence. It is also important that differences between European markets are recognised. Such an evaluation should, and can only be made at the Member State level taking its specific circumstances into account².

The implications of high prices for consumers are rightly recognised as a problem that needs to be addressed. We support measures taken to date but further support for consumers is needed. We outline below some further suggestions including VAT linked considerations for offering additional consumer supports and addressing retrofit challenges. In addition, we outline how collateral, liquidity and working capital concerns can negatively impact end consumers in terms of market disruption, and higher costs. Finally, we comment on the recent Commission proposals on gas storage, which will prove extremely challenging for Ireland. We call for engagement with DECC regarding the near-term proposals from the EC and what they might mean for Ireland and potentially for gas shippers here.

Collateral, liquidity and working capital considerations

The threat posed by Europe's energy crisis also extends to the market as a whole, where collateral and financial liquidity issues associated with high and volatile prices need to be addressed. This is because markets need to be funded, and must have certainty of future funding, long before customer receipts

² By design, the SEM requires market participants to trade in the fully collateralised Day Ahead and Intra-Day Markets and the fully collateralised Balancing Market, as opposed to trading bilaterally as seen in other European countries.



Electricity Association of IrelandRegistered Office: 127 Baggot St Lower, Dublin 2, Ireland D02 F634
Registered No. 443598 | VAT No. IE9682114C









¹ European Council conclusions - Consilium (europa.eu)



and hedges pay out. A failure to address this issue puts security of electricity supply at risk and could further increase costs for consumers. Our proposals below include, for example:

- the potential need for emergency liquidity measures/ government guarantees
- addressing the dual collateralisation effect and
- letter of credit approval timelines in SEM.

It is important to note that even well hedged, profitable and prudently managed energy companies have the potential to be severely affected by the current crisis. Some of Europe's largest utilities have already received some form of government support, not because they are badly run but because of extreme market conditions³. Nobody knows how high gas prices might go, when or how soon future increases might happen or how long high prices might persist. Neither does anyone know the tipping point for triggering systemic failures.

Financial liquidity pressures and collateral requirements associated with extreme wholesale gas pricing on international markets and related volatility have the potential to abruptly disrupt the functioning of energy markets, including the all-island Single Electricity Market (SEM). Some of these effects will be reminiscent of the immediate and significant events that unfolded around the banking / financial crisis, albeit the cause in this case is external events rather than excessive risk taking. Urgent measures may be needed to avoid the domino effect that any failure (in SEM or beyond) can have.

From a liquidity perspective, you may be aware of the enclosed position paper from the European Federation of Energy Traders. This paper calls for emergency liquidity support to ensure that wholesale energy markets continue to function, thus highlighting the gravity of the problem and the kind of assistance that may be needed. In addition, the proposed measure may also need to apply directly to market participants and not just the clearing members/banks of the Exchanges, otherwise there is a risk that the liquidity support will not flow through to those that need it to keep the lights on and the gas flowing.

A range of measures should be considered and progressed in tandem, and at pace over the coming days and weeks, to protect the functioning of our market and the continuation of electricity and gas











³ German state bank KfW stepping in to provide billions of euros in liquidity support to German utilities to cope with the unprecedented volatility in energy markets, including €2bn for Uniper (one of Europe's largest energy companies) alongside €8bn from its state owned Finnish parent company Fortum, €5.5bn for another unnamed German utility, and most recently VNG is understood to be seeking state support to cope with the energy crisis³



supply to customers in Ireland. In that respect, we would welcome direct engagement so that we can provide insight into any measures considered.

It will be crucial to improve collateral arrangements in the electricity market which will help to reduce the likelihood of emergency liquidity measures/government guarantees having to be utilised (albeit they are still needed to be put in place). Notably, collateral requirements in the SEM are unnecessarily onerous due to a requirement for market participants to effectively dual-collateralise the market. Removing this unnecessary requirement could reduce SEM collateral requirements at current prices by almost €300m. Further details included in the annex to this letter. Other improvements should also be explored in tandem. For example, reducing SEMO's approval times for Letters of Credit (currently 5 working days), would be helpful in responding quickly to high prices and market volatility. EAI intends to engage further on this matter with the RAs in the coming days, given its significance to our members.

The EU Toolbox on electricity prices, REPower EU & latest discussions and proposals

EAI fully recognises the challenges customers face in the context of current energy market conditions and our members continue to engage with customers who are experiencing difficulty. We are supportive of the positive steps taken towards protecting customers, in particular those who are or may be at risk of energy poverty. We welcome the government's recent announcements regarding the €200 energy credit and €125 lump sum for customers in receipt of the fuel allowance.

We anticipate that further measures will be needed in the coming months to support customers. As European Member States consider available options, the European Commission recently released an updated version of the EU Toolbox on energy prices ('Action for affordable, secure and sustainable energy'). While the Commission's guidance is useful overall, EAI is concerned about some specific proposals and their ability to truly help customers in the current environment:

A suggestion that price regulation may be a suitable solution for vulnerable customers and/ or those in energy poverty. EAI members do not believe price regulation will protect customers from rising wholesale costs. Unless government- subsidised tariffs were to be introduced, customers would be exposed to unprecedented rises in tariffs, even if regulated. It may have adverse effects as experienced in price regulated markets such as the UK where approximately 30 suppliers have gone out of business in the last year at a cost of approximately £100 to each energy customer

We believe alternative actions would be more effective in the current environment, including:

Further targeted government supports- Ensuring that those who cannot afford their energy needs or cannot change their behaviour are targeted with greater supports. Increased VAT revenue can be used by government to fund additional energy allowances possibly via their













supplier given the mechanism in place now to facilitate the "Electricity Costs Emergency Benefit Scheme". More targeted delivery, e.g. to those in receipt of social welfare with a means test being applied to extend support to individuals on lower incomes who are not in receipt of benefits, should be considered.

- Improve identification of the most affected customers- Improvements are needed to identify more comprehensively those who are experiencing fuel poverty, such as those that are in employment. CSO data can provide useful insight.
- Address retrofit challenges- While we welcome the government's recent increases in retrofit
 grants which will be helpful, further work is needed to address additional challenges especially
 for the rising number of fuel poor customers. To help make adoption of energy saving
 measures more affordable in Ireland consideration of the time-limited zero-rate of VAT the
 UK announced on 23 March for the installation of certain Energy Saving Materials in
 residential accommodation could be considered. We also believe the launch of the low-cost
 loan scheme should be expedited so customers can access necessary finance to fund retrofits.

A clawback of high rents- This proposal would disrupt investor confidence at a time when investment of tens of billions of Euro is needed to deliver the climate transition and at a time when security of electricity supply in Ireland is currently at risk. Whereas we recognise the need to look at all options to help customers in this time of great volatility, we do not believe that in the long run this type of retrospective action will deliver the fundamental shift that is needed to reduce the European Unions' dependence on Russian fossil fuels. It undermines typical market cycles and raises regulatory risk – with overall negative implications for existing and future investments.

A price cap on wholesale gas prices/ and or electricity prices – if the EU truly wants to achieve its REPower ambitions in an accelerated fashion it should not seek to interfere with the markets that will underpin investments. We believe there are numerous avenues to help customers through this time of volatility and uncertainty and suggest that we map out our ambitions and actions needed to achieve them before we look to unravel the regulatory certainty that the EU has strived to achieve over the past number of decades to attract largescale investment.

Gas Storage Policy and Regulation

Recent events have highlighted fragilities in the depth of the EU's gas security of supply, and we note the intention of the Council and the Commission to review EU gas storage policy through recent regulation proposals. These are focussed on shorter term measures to maximise gas in storage with the EU with complimenting medium term proposals to boost gas independence within the EU in a manner consistent with decarbonisation objectives.

Electricity Association of IrelandRegistered Office: 127 Baggot St Lower, Dublin 2, Ireland D02 F634
Registered No. 443598 | VAT No. IE9682114C













- We would welcome engagement with DECC regarding the near-term proposals from the EC and what they might mean for Ireland and potentially for gas shippers here. Ireland does not currently have the capacity to comply the storage proposals given the lack of gas storage on the island. However, there are various aspects to the EC proposal regarding contracting and burden sharing which are relevant to Ireland as and which warrant analysis and discussion now.
- Striving to reduce dependence on fossil gas in the medium term is an area Ireland should focus
 on, especially given increased urgency from the EC. The long-awaited security of supply report
 from DECC is increasingly important now and should be published without delay. In addition,
 efforts should be redoubled in the area of renewables deployment, removal of administrative
 bottlenecks and the development of a net zero strategy for Ireland that places security of
 supply as a central objective.

EAI members stand ready to work with Government and regulators on the above and would appreciate an early engagement.

Finally, we request a meeting to discuss with regulators and government the development and implementation of a coordinated response to the current energy crisis. We will be in touch with your respective offices to set up a suitable date for such a meeting. In the meantime, if you have any questions or require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours Sincerely

Dara Lynott CEO Electricity Association of Ireland Encs.

JEAG Position Paper on Liquidity











Annex – Worked Example of European Commodity Clearing (ECC) Collateralisation

By design, the SEM requires market participants to trade in the Day Ahead and Intra-Day Markets and the Balancing Market, as opposed to trading bilaterally as is permissible in other European wholesale electricity markets.

Collateral requirements in the SEM are unnecessarily onerous by a requirement for market participants to individually collateralise European Commodity Clearing (ECC) in the Ex-ante Markets and to also collateralise the Single Electricity Market Operator (SEMO) in the Balancing Market.

This effectively duplicates collateral requirements in the SEM which is unnecessary and could be avoided by having SEMO, which is part of EirGrid Group, collateralise the Ex-ante Markets⁴ . This would allow the efficient netting of generation and demand across the entire market for the purpose of collateral calculations and would reduce the likelihood of emergency liquidity measures / government guarantees having to be utilised (albeit they are still needed). We have provided a worked example below calculating the Ex-Ante collateral requirements⁵ that could be displaced if we had an efficient wholistic collateralisation of the SEM wholesale markets. As show in table 1 below, SEM collateral requirements for an example supplier with 20% market share could be reduced by c€59m based on average wholesale prices during the month of March 2022, without any adverse effect on the collateralisation of the market as a whole. This would be equivalent to collateral savings for the whole market of almost €300m, which would be even greater at higher wholesale prices.

For comparison, we have included calculations in table 2 below showing the collateral savings based on average prices in March 2021 (i.e., €13m for the supplier and €65m for the market). Clearly, the benefits of reforming collateral arrangements in the SEM increase markedly at higher prices.

Table 1: ECC collateral requirements based on March-22 prices

Euro Price (Average Mar 22)	326.95	
	Ex-ante Daily Volume (MWh)	Peak ECC Margin (€)
Example Supplier (20% Market Volume)	(26,316)	(58,507,594)
Average Market Volume (Mar 22)	(131,579)	(292,537,971)

Table 2: ECC collateral requirements based on March-21 prices

⁵ This calculation is based on peak ex ante volumes x 4 days x price x 1.7.









⁴ This could potentially be done a number of ways, including by SEMO conducting the clearing of all the markets or by SEMO appointing a clearing bank to collateralise ECC.



Euro Price (Average Mar 21)	72.79	
	Ex-ante Daily Volume (MWh)	Peak ECC Margin (€)
Example Supplier (20% Market Volume)	(26,316)	(13,026,123)
Average Market Volume (Mar 22)	(131,579)	(65,130,613)

Registered Office: 127 Baggot St Lower, Dublin 2, Ireland D02 F634 Registered No. 443598 | VAT No. IE9682114C









